

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/688,172	10/17/2003	17/2003 Kenneth M. Kane	1700-002P/FLS	9521
22831 75	03/16/2005	EXAMINER		
SCHWEITZER CORNMAN GROSS & BONDELL LLP 292 MADISON AVENUE - 19th FLOOR			HAN, JASON	
NEW YORK,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2875	
			DATE MAILED: 03/16/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/688,172	%88,172 KANE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jason M. Han	2875	

	LAMITIME	Ait Oille				
	Jason M. Han	2875				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 March 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	•			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance time periods:	an amendment, affidavit, or other peal (with appeal fee) in complianc with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	evidence, which plac e with 37 CFR 41.31;	es the or (3) a			
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
 The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appwas filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the <u>AMENDMENTS</u> 	11.37 must be filed within two mont CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	ths of the date of filing of the appeal. Since a	g the Notice of			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			because			
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		amusliant Amaandmaan	· (DTOL 224)			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a	• ———	timely filed amendo	nent canceling			
the non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	_			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		/III be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:	•					
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b	out before or on the date of filing a l	Notice of Appeal will i	not be entered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	. (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)				
13. Other: See Attachment: Response to Arguments.						

Application/Control Number: 10/688,172

Art Unit: 2875

Response to Arguments

Applicant has to amend newly Independent Claim 5 to recite all the limitations of the amended Independent Claim 1, including the limitations (h), (i), (j), referring to the structural details of the retainer clip. The current limitations of (h), (i), (j), have been amended to take into account the appendages, and have been renamed to read (k), (l), (m).

Applicant has to amend newly Independent Claim 12 to recite the limitations of the amended Independent Claim 8, including the limitations (i), (j), (k), referring to the structural details of the retainer clip. The current limitation (g) has been amended to take into account the appendages, whereby the new limitations will read (g), (h), (i), respectively, followed by the current limitation (g) as (j).

Applicant has to amend newly Independent Claim 13 to recite the limitations of the amended Independent Claim 8, including the limitations (i), (j), (k), referring to the structural details of the retainer clip. The current limitations of (g), (h), (i) have been amended to take into account the appendages, whereby the new limitations will read (g), (h), (i), respectively, followed by the current limitations (g), (h), (i) as (j), (k), (l).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason M. Han whose telephone number is (571) 272-2207. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-5:00pm.

Art Unit: 2875

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on (571) 272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JMH (3/7/2005)

JOHN ANTHONY WARD
PRIMARY EXAMINER